

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
2 SULLIVAN, LLP

3 Patrick D. Curran (Bar No. 241630)  
4 patrickcurran@quinnemanuel.com  
5 111 Huntington Ave., Suite 520  
6 Boston, Massachusetts 02199  
7 Telephone: (617) 712-7100  
8 Facsimile: (617) 712-7200

9 Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC

ALYSSA CARIDIS (STATE BAR NO.  
260103)  
acaridis@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE  
LLP  
The Orrick Building  
405 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669  
Telephone: +1 415 773 5700  
Facsimile: +1 415 773 5759

Attorneys for Defendant Sonos, Inc.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

GOOGLE LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SONOS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-04552-AMO

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
PURSUANT TO DKT. 24**

1 Pursuant to the Court’s order of June 3, 2025 (Dkt. 24), Plaintiff Google LLC (“Google”) and Defendant Sonos, Inc. (“Sonos”) submit this joint status report regarding this case.

3 On August 8, 2022, Google filed its complaint asserting infringement U.S. Patent Nos. 4 10,593,330, 10,134,398, and 7,705,565 (the “’330 Patent,” “’398 Patent,” and “’565 Patent,” 5 respectively). Dkt. 1.

6 On August 9, 2022, Google filed a complaint in the ITC alleging a violation of Section 337 7 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337 (“Section 337”) based on alleged 8 infringement of the same three patents. The ITC instituted an Investigation responsive to Google’s 9 complaint, styled ITC Investigation No. 337-TA-1329 (“the 1329 Investigation”).

10 On September 12, 2022, this Court stayed this case pending the disposition of the 1329 11 Investigation. Dkt. 13.

12 On October 18, 2022, the ITC terminated the 1329 Investigation as to the ’565 Patent.

13 On May 17, 2023, Sonos moved for summary determination in the 1329 Investigation that 14 the ’330 and ’398 Patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as indefinite. Google opposed this 15 motion.

16 On May 15, 2024, the U.S. Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) found the asserted 17 claims of the ’398 Patent and the ’330 Patent invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103. Google has 18 appealed these decisions to the Federal Circuit. Google filed its opening appeal brief on November 19 18, 2024, Sonos filed its response brief on February 26, 2025, and Google filed its reply brief on 20 April 9, 2025. The parties will promptly inform the Court once a decision from the Federal Circuit 21 is received.

22 On July 31, 2024, Sonos moved again for summary determination in the 1329 Investigation 23 that the ’398 Patent and ’330 Patent are invalid for the same reasons that the PTAB concluded these 24 patents were invalid. Google opposed this motion.

25 On March 7, 2025, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the 1329 Investigation 26 issued a claim construction order finding the asserted claims of the ’330 Patent and ’398 Patent 27 invalid as indefinite, and issued an initial determination granting Sonos’ first motion for summary 28 determination of invalidity based on indefiniteness. On the same day, the ALJ issued an order

1 denying Sonos' motion for summary determination that the '398 Patent and '330 Patent are invalid  
 2 for the same reasons that the PTAB concluded these patents were invalid.

3 On July 7, 2025, the Commission issued a Notice of Determination adopting the ALJ's initial  
 4 determination granting Sonos' motion for summary determination based on indefiniteness, and  
 5 terminating the investigation based on a finding of no violation.

6 Google has 60 days from the date of the Notice of Determination—until September 5,  
 7 2025—to file an appeal of the Commission's determination to the Court of Appeals for the Federal  
 8 Circuit.

9 The parties agree that this case should remain stayed pending resolution of any appeal by  
 10 Google of the Commission's determination. The parties will promptly inform the Court once that  
 11 occurs, or if Google determines not to file such an appeal.

12  
 13 Respectfully submitted,

14 Dated: August 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

15 /s/ Patrick Curran

/s/ Alyssa Caridis

16 Patrick Curran

Alyssa Caridis

17 Attorneys for GOOGLE LLC

Attorneys for SONOS INC.

18 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
 SULLIVAN, LLP

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE  
 LLP

19 *Counsel for Plaintiff Google LLC*

*Counsel for Defendant Sonos Inc.*

**ECF ATTESTATION**

I, Patrick Curran, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this JOINT STATUS REPORT. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Counsel for Sonos has concurred in this filing.

Dated: August 4, 2025

By: /s/ Patrick Curran